

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/667,260		<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHUNK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Omar Rojas		<b>Art Unit</b> 2874	

**All Participants:**

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Laurence Greenberg.

**Date of Interview:** 8 October 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
21

Prior art documents discussed:  
U.S. Pat. No. 4,410,469 to Katagiri et al.

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**AKM ENAYET ULLAH**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amending claim 21 in view of the Katagiri reference. The proposed amendment was agreed to by Laurence Greenberg on October 12, 2004 via a telephone message and has been incorporated into the attached Examiner's amendment.



AKM ENAYET ULLAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER